arXiv:1405.5346v2 [math.AG] 11 Mar 2015

CUSPIDAL CURVES, MINIMAL MODELS AND ZAIDENBERG'S FINITENESS CONJECTURE

KAROL PALKA

Abstract. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be a complex rational cuspidal curve and let $(X, D) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, E)$ be the minimal log resolution of singularities. We prove that $\bar{E}$ has at most six cusps and we establish an effective version of the Zaidenberg Finiteness Conjecture (1994) concerning Eisenbud-Neumann diagrams of E. This is done by analysing the Minimal Model Program run for the pair $(X, \frac{1}{2}D)$. Namely, we show that $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus E$ is $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibred or for the log resolution of the minimal model the Picard rank, the number of boundary components and their self-intersections are bounded.

1. Main results

Let $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be a complex rational curve having only locally analytically irreducible singularities (cusps[1]). It is an image of a morphism $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ which is 1-1 on closed points. Classifying such curves up to a choice of coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^2$ is a long-standing open problem with many connections, see [MS89], [Ore95], [ZO96], [FZ96], [Fen99], [Ton05], [FdBLMHN07], [BL14]. We shall further assume that $\kappa(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}) = 2$, otherwise there is a classification. Many conjectures have been made. For instance, the Coolidge-Nagata conjecture predicts that $\bar{E}$ is Cremona equivalent to a line. The weak rigidity conjecture of Flenner-Zaidenberg states that if $(X, D) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ is the minimal log resolution of singularities then the Euler characteristic of the logarithmic tangent sheaf of (X, D) vanishes. The first conjecture is equivalent to the vanishing of $h^0(2K_X + E)$, where E is the proper transform of $\bar{E}$ on X, and the second to the vanishing of $h^0(2K_X + D)$ (see 2.5).

Suggested by these equivalences, in this article we show that in understanding the geometry of cuspidal curves the fundamental role is played by the divisor $K_X + \frac{1}{2}D$. We propose a new approach to the above problems based on the analysis of the Minimal Model Program run for the pair $(X, \frac{1}{2}D)$; see 3.1 for the discussion of the strategy. The key result is the description of the structure of a minimal model and its minimal log resolution, an *almost minimal model*; see 3.6 for definitions and 4.5 for a more detailed version of the result.

We write $b_i(-)$ for $\dim H^i(-, \mathbb{Q})$ (the i-th Betti number) and p_a for the arithmetic genus. Put $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) := \kappa(K_X + \frac{1}{2}D)$ and $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) := h^0(2K_X + D)$. A $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-*fibration* is a fibration whose general fiber is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{**} = \mathbb{C}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be a complex rational cuspidal curve for which $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ is of log general type and let $(X, D) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ be the minimal log resolution of singularities. Let $(X', \frac{1}{2}D')$ and $(Y, \frac{1}{2}D_Y)$ denote respectively an almost minimal and a minimal model as defined in 3.6. Put $n = p_a(D')$. Then:*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14H50; Secondary: 14J17, 14R05.

Key words and phrases. Cuspidal curve, rigidity conjecture, Finiteness Conjecture, Cremona transformation, log Minimal Model Program, minimal model.

The author was supported by the National Science Center, Grant No. 2012/05/D/ST1/03227 and by the Foundation for Polish Science within the Homing Plus programme, cofinanced by the European Union, Regional Development Fund.

[1]Cusps should not been confused with 'simple' or 'ordinary cusps', which are locally isomorphic to the singular point of $x^2 - y^3$ at $0 \in \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x, y]$.

(1) $X' \setminus D' \cong Y \setminus D_Y$ *is isomorphic to an open subset of* $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E} \cong X \setminus D$ *with the complement being a sum of* n *disjoint curves isomorphic to* $\mathbb{C}^*$.
(2) $n + p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \leq 5$.
(3) If $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = -\infty$ *then one of the following holds:*
  (a) Y *admits a* $\mathbb{P}^1$*-fibration with irreducible fibers inducing a* $\mathbb{C}^{**}$*-fibration on* $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$.
  (b) $(Y, \frac{1}{2}D_Y)$ *is an open log terminal log del Pezzo surface of rank* 1*, whose boundary has* $n + 1 \leq 6$ *components.*
(4) If $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ *does not admit a* $\mathbb{C}^{**}$*-fibration then*

$$\rho(X') \leq p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 19 - n.$$

If a $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibration of $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ is induced from a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration of some surface of Picard rank 1 (as above) then we call it a *structural* $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibration.

Tono [Ton05] bounded the number of maximal twigs of D (for a definition of a twig see Sec. 2.1) by $17 - p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$. However, neither the number of components of D contained in these twigs can be bounded from above nor their self-intersections can be bounded from below. Indeed, it is already so for the family of tricuspidal curves found by Flenner-Zaidenberg [FZ96, 3.5]. Surprisingly, the situation changes after arriving at an almost minimal model.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ *be a complex rational cuspidal curve, such that* $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ *is of log general type and does not admit a structural* $\mathbb{C}^{**}$*-fibration. Let* $(X', \frac{1}{2}D')$ *be an almost minimal model as above. Then:*
(1) $\rho(X') \leq 22$, $\#D' \leq 22$ *and* $K_{X'} \cdot D' \leq 16$. *The inequalities are strict in case* $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = -\infty$.
(2) If $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = -\infty$ *then the self-intersections of components of* D' *are not smaller than* (-3)*. If* $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \geq 0$ *then they are between* -40 *and* 6.

Remark. To see an immediate application of the above result assume $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ contains no $\mathbb{C}^*$. Then $n = 0$, so $(X, D) = (X', D')$ and the bounds above are actually bounds on the log resolution, so we may in fact classify rational cuspidal curves for which $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ contains no $\mathbb{C}^*$. In Corollary 1.3 below we show how to get around the hypothesis $n = 0$ to obtain some unconditional bounds on the geometry of D.

Each reduced effective divisor can be decomposed as a sum of its maximal rational twigs plus the remaining part, called the *core*. The above theorem coupled with an analysis of structural $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibrations in Section 5 establishes an effective version of the Zaidenberg Finiteness Conjecture for cuspidal curves (see 2.5(4) for the conjecture and 2.3 for the definition of the core graph).

Corollary 1.3. *(Effective Zaidenberg Finiteness Conjecture). Let* $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ *be a rational cuspidal curve whose complement is of log general type and let* $(X, D) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ *be the minimal log resolution of singularities. The core of* D *has at most* 20 *components and the core graph (hence the Eisenbud-Neumann diagram) has at most* 31 *vertices. In particular, the sets of possible core graphs and Eisenbud-Neumann diagrams are finite.*

The following inequalities are of independent interest.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* $\bar{E} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ *be a rational cuspidal curve contained in the complex projective plane. Then* $\bar{E}$ *has at most six cusps. In fact, if* c *is the number of cusps and* n *is as above then* $c + \max(0, 2p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + n - 4, 2p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) - 3) \leq 6$.

Up to now the best known bound was $c \leq 8.5 - \frac{1}{2}p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) < 9$ [Ton05]. The bound $c \leq 8$ was obtained earlier in [ZO96] provided $h^1(\mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)) = 0$. It was conjectured by Orevkov that in fact $c \leq 4$.

The tools built in this article play a basic role in our recent proof of the Coolidge-Nagata conjecture [Pal14], [KP15]. They can be applied to study open surfaces of log general type.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Mariusz Koras for discussions concerning producing $\mathbb{C}^*$'s contained in the affine part of the surface. His remark to reuse the BMY inequality at the final stage of the proof of Theorem 1.1(4) (instead of using the bound obtained by Tono) led to improved numerical bounds in the inequalities. The author would also thank Mikhail Zaidenberg for discussing results in the literature.

Contents

1. Main results 1
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. Log surfaces and divisors 3
2.2. Rational cuspidal curves 5
3. Minimal models: construction 7
4. Minimal models: properties 11
5. The structural $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibration 21
References 23

2. Preliminaries

We recall some results from the theory of non-complete surfaces, also to settle notation. For a complete treatment the reader is referred to [Miy01].

2.1. *Log surfaces and divisors*

Given two $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors T, T' we say that T' is a *subdivisor of* T ($T' \leq T$) if $T - T'$ is effective. Let T be a nonzero reduced divisor on a smooth complete surface X. If R is a reduced subdivisor of T we define $\beta_T(R) = R \cdot (T - R)$ and we call it a *branching number of* R *in* T. If R is irreducible and nonzero we say that R is a *tip* or a *branching component* if $\beta_T(R) \leq 1$ or $\beta_T(R) \geq 3$ respectively. We say that T is an snc-divisor if its irreducible components are smooth and intersect transversally, at most two in one point. It is *snc-minimal* if a contraction of any (-1)-curve in T maps T onto a divisor which is not snc. We call T a *chain* if it is a connected snc-divisor with linear dual graph. A (rational) *twig* of T is a (rational) snc-chain $R \leq T$ which contains no branching components of T and contains a tip of T. A twig is *maximal* if it is not properly contained in some other twig. Similarly maximal rational twigs are maximal in the sense of inclusion of supports in the set of rational twigs. We say that T is a *(rational) tree* if it is a connected snc-divisor (whose all components are rational and) such that the dual graph of T contains no loops. A fork is a tree with exactly one branching component and three maximal twigs. The *arithmetic genus of* T is

$$p_a(T) = \frac{1}{2} T \cdot (K + T) + 1,$$

where K is the canonical divisor (class) on X. We often use the fact that for a rational tree $p_a(T) = 0$. We denote the Iitaka-Kodaira dimension of the divisor T (on X) by $\kappa(T)$. If $T = T_1 + \ldots + T_k$ is a decomposition of a rational chain into irreducible components, such that $T_i \cdot T_{i+1} = 1$ for $i < k$, then we write $T = [-T_1^2, -T_2^2, \ldots, -T_k^2]$. By $(m)_p$ we mean a sequence $(m, m, \ldots, m)$ of length p. By a curve we mean a one-dimensional

variety. An (n)-*curve* is a smooth rational curve with self-intersection n. A (-1)-curve which is a component of T as above is called *superfluous* if it intersects at most two other components of T, each at most once and transversally. A (-2)-twig is a twig such that all its irreducible components are (-2)-curves. A maximal (-2)-twig is a (-2)-twig which is not a proper subdivisor of another (-2)-twig. We define the *discriminant of* T as $d(T) = \det(-Q(T))$, where $Q(T)$ is the intersection matrix of T. We put $d(0) = 1$.

Assume now that T is a fixed connected snc-divisor with no superfluous (-1)-curves and with intersection matrix which is not negative definite. (This is the case when T is an snc-minimal boundary of an affine surface). Assume also that the intersection matrices of all its maximal twigs are negative definite (this is the case if $\kappa(K+T) = 2$, [Fuj82, 6.13]). For every twig R of T we define

$$\operatorname{ind}(R) = \frac{d(R - \operatorname{tip}(R))}{d(R)} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(R) = \frac{1}{d(R)},$$

where $\operatorname{tip}(R)$ denotes the unique tip of T contained in R. The former number is usually called the *inductance* or *capacity* of R. We define $\operatorname{Bk}_T R$, the *bark of* R *with respect to* T, as the unique $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor supported on $\operatorname{Supp} R$, such that

$$\operatorname{Bk}_T R \cdot R_0 = \beta_T(R_0) - 2$$

for every component R_0 of R, equivalently that $\operatorname{Bk}_T R \cdot R_0$ equals -1 if $R_0 = \operatorname{tip}(R)$ and equals 0 otherwise. Let now T_i, $i = 1, \ldots, t$, be the maximal twigs of T. We put

$$\operatorname{ind}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \operatorname{ind}(T_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \delta(T_i),$$

and we define the *bark of* T ad $\operatorname{Bk} T = \operatorname{Bk}_T T_1 + \ldots + \operatorname{Bk}_T T_t$.

If $\kappa(K+T) \geq 0$ then we have the Fujita-Zariski decomposition

$$K + T = (K+T)^+ + (K+T)^-,$$

where $(K+T)^+$ is numerically effective and $(K+T)^-$ is effective, either empty or having a negative definite intersection matrix. Moreover, $(K+T)^+ \cdot B = 0$ for any curve B contained in $\operatorname{Supp}(K+T)^-$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let T_i be a twig of a rational tree T as above and let T_0 be a component of T_i. Denote the coefficient of T_0 in* $\operatorname{Bk} T$ *by t_0. Then*

(i) $0 < t_0 < 1$.
(ii) If T_0 is the component meeting $T - T_i$ then $t_0 = \delta(T_i)$.
(iii) $(\operatorname{Bk} T)^2 = -\operatorname{ind}(T)$.
(iv) If there is no (-1)-curve A on X, for which $T \cdot A \leq 1$, then $(K+T)^- = \operatorname{Bk} T$.

Proof. Write $T_i = T_{i,1} + T_{i,2} + \ldots + T_{i,k_i}$, where $T_{i,j}$ are irreducible and $T_{i,j} \cdot T_{i,j+1} = 1$ for $j < k_i$. Then by [Miy01, 2.3.3.4] the coefficient of $T_{i,j}$ in $\operatorname{Bk} T$ equals $d(T_{i,j+1} + \ldots + T_{i,k_i})/d(T_i)$. This gives (i), (ii) and (iii). Part (iv) follows from 2.3.11 loc. cit. □

Let $\sigma\colon (X', D') \to (X, D)$, where $D' = \sigma_*^{-1} D + \operatorname{Exc} \sigma$, be a blowup with a center on D (σ_*^{-1}, or rather $(\sigma^{-1})_*$, denotes taking the proper transform). Write $\sigma^* D = D' + \mu \operatorname{Exc} \sigma$. We say that σ is *inner (outer)* for D if $\mu = 1$ ($\mu = 0$ respectively). If D has smooth components we may equivalently ask that the center of σ belongs to exactly two (one) components of D.

By a *log surface* we mean a pair (Y, B) consisting of a projective normal surface Y together with an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, which can be written as $B = \sum b_i B_i$, where B_i are distinct irreducible components and $0 < b_i \leq 1$. It is (log) smooth if X is smooth and B is an snc-divisor.

Definition 2.2. Let (Y, B) be a log surface and let $\pi\colon (X, D) \to (Y, B)$ be a proper birational morphism from a log surface such that X is smooth and $D = \pi_*^{-1}B + \operatorname{Exc}\pi$. We say that π is a *weak (embedded) resolution of singularities* if $\pi_*^{-1}B$ (the proper transform) is an snc-divisor. It is a *log resolution* if D is an snc-divisor (equivalently, (X, D) is a smooth log surface).

Remark. The role of maximal twigs and barks in the theory of log surfaces can be seen easily from the point of view of the logarithmic Minimal Model Program. For example, with the above assumptions and notation by the adjunction formula

$$(K_X + T) \cdot T_0 = \beta_T(T_0) - 2 < 0,$$

so the tip $\operatorname{tip}(T_i)$, and by induction the whole maximal twig T_i, is contracted in the process of minimalization of (X, T). Now if $\alpha\colon (X, T) \to (X', \alpha_* T)$ is the contraction of maximal twigs then

$$\alpha^*(K_{X'} + \alpha_* T) = K_X + T - \operatorname{Bk} T.$$

Recall that by definition components of a twig of any divisor are smooth.

Definition 2.3. Let $B = \sum B_i$ be a reduced effective divisor on a smooth projective surface. Assume B has a connected support.

(i) The *(weighted) dual graph* of B is a graph with a vertex (of weight B_i^2) for each irreducible component of B_i and $B_i \cdot B_j$ edges between B_i and B_j for $i \neq j$.
(ii) The *core* of B is the divisor remaining after removing all rational twigs of B.
(iii) The *core graph* of B is the graph resulting from the contraction of vertices of the dual graph of D which have degree 2 and correspond to components contained in some rational twig of the graph.
(iv) Assume B is a tree. The (non-weighted) *Eisenbud-Neumann diagram* of B is the graph resulting from contraction of vertices of the dual graph of B of degree 2 which correspond to rational components.

The core graph contains the dual graph of the core and remembers to which components of the core maximal rational twigs were attached (vertices of degree 1 are not contracted). Note that even if B is an exceptional divisor of a minimal log resolution of a cusp (and hence is a three-valent tree of special type), the core graph is in general bigger than the Eisenbud-Neumann diagram.

2.2. *Rational cuspidal curves*

For a rational curve $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ we put $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = \kappa(K_X + \frac{1}{2}D)$ and $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = h^0(2K + D)$, where $(X, D) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ is the minimal log resolution.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be a rational cuspidal curve and let $\pi\colon (X, D) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ be any weak resolution of singularities. Then:*

(i) $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-acyclic.
(ii) The numbers $h^0(m(2K_X + D))$, and hence $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ and $h^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$, do not depend on the choice of π.
(iii) If $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \geq 0$ then for a fiber f of any $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration of X we have $f \cdot D \geq 4$.
(iv) If $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ is of log general type then it contains no topologically contractible curves (i.e. curves which are homotopic to a point). In case π is the minimal log resolution we have $(K + D)^- = \operatorname{Bk} D$.

Proof. (i) The $\mathbb{Q}$-acyclicity follows from the Lefschetz duality.

(ii) Let $\sigma\colon X' \to X$ be a blowup and let $D' = \sigma_*^{-1}D + \operatorname{Exc}\sigma$. Let μ be the number of components of D passing through the center of σ. Denote the proper transform of $\bar{E}$ on

X by E. The divisor $D-E$ is snc and E is smooth, so $\mu \leq 3$. Clearly, σ_* embeds the linear system of $m(2K_{X'}+D')$ into the linear system of

$$\sigma_*(m(2K_{X'}+D')) = m(2K_X+D).$$

Now if $m(2K_X+D) \sim U$ then

$$m(2K_{X'}+D') \sim \sigma^*U + m(3-\mu)\operatorname{Exc}\sigma \geq \sigma^*U,$$

so σ_* is surjective.

(iii) Let f be a smooth fiber of a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration of X. By (ii) $\kappa(2K_X+D) \geq 0$, so $0 \leq f\cdot(2K_X+D) = -4 + f\cdot D$.

(iv) By [MT92] $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ contains no topologically contractible curves. If π is the minimal log resolution then by 2.1(iv) $(K_X+D)^- = \operatorname{Bk} D$. □

There are many conjectures related to cuspidal curves (see [FdBLMHN07]), we mention only those related to our results. Recall that $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ is *projectively rigid* if every embedded equisingular deformation of it is projectively equivalent to $\bar{E}$.

Conjecture 2.5. *Let $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be a rational cuspidal curve and let $\pi\colon (X,D) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ be the minimal log resolution of singularities. Denote the proper transform of $\bar{E}$ on X by E and the logarithmic tangent sheaf of X along D by $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)$. We have the following conjectures:*

(1) (The rigidity conjecture, [FZ94], [FZ96]*). If $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ is of log general type then it is projectively rigid and has unobstructed deformations. Equivalently, $h^i(\mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

(2) (The weak rigidity conjecture, [FZ96]*). If $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ is of log general type then the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)$ vanishes. Equivalently, $K_X\cdot(K_X+D) = 0$. Equivalently (see 4.3(i)), $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = 0$.*

(3) (The Coolidge-Nagata conjecture, [Coo59], [Nag60]*). There exists a Cremona transformation of $\mathbb{P}^2$ which maps $\bar{E}$ onto a line. Equivalently (*[MKM83, 2.6, 3.4], [Coo59]*), $h^0(2K_X+E) = 0$.*

(4) (Finiteness conjecture, [Zai95, 1.6, p.16],[FR05, §15]*). The set of possible Eisenbud-Neumann diagrams of D is finite.*

Note that the equivalent formulations of conjectures (1) and (2) show that the weak rigidity conjecture implies the Coolidge-Nagata conjecture when $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ is of log general type. In 4.7 we formulate an even stronger conjecture, which is more natural in our setting. The mentioned equivalent formulations show also that our choice of the setup in which we run the log MMP (the $\frac{1}{2}$ in $K_X + \frac{1}{2}D$) is the most natural one.

Proposition 2.6. *If $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ is not of log general type then it is $\mathbb{C}^1$- or $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibered and $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = -\infty$. In particular, $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = 0$ and $\bar{E}$ satisfies the Coolidge-Nagata conjecture.*

Proof. Assume $S = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ is not of long general type. Suppose it is neither $\mathbb{C}^1$- nor $\mathbb{C}^*$-ruled. By structure theorems for smooth affine surfaces (see [Miy01, 3.1.3.1, 3.1.7.1]) $\kappa(S) = 0$. Let (X,D) be the minimal log resolution of $(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$. Since S is not $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibered, by [Miy01, 3.4.4.3] (X,D) is almost minimal. By [Fuj82, 8.64] (cf. [Miy01, 3.4.4.2]) $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ is one of the three Fujita surfaces $Y\{a,b,c\}$, so D is a fork whose maximal twigs are (-2)-chains. But then $c = 1$ and there should exist a tip of D (namely E) for which $D-E$ is negative definite. This is not so for the surfaces $Y\{a,b,c\}$, because the branching components have self-intersections $1, 0, -1$ respectively; a contradiction.

Thus S is $\mathbb{C}^1$- or $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibred. The fibration extends to a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration of some weak resolution $(X,D) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$, so that $f\cdot D \leq 2$. But then $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = \kappa(K_X + \frac{1}{2}D) =$

$-\infty$, because $f \cdot (K_X + \frac{1}{2}D) < 0$. It follows that $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = h^0(2K_X + E) = 0$, so we are done due to 2.4(iii). □

3. Minimal models: construction

From now on we assume that $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ is a (closed) singular cuspidal rational curve in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and that $\pi_0 \colon (X_0, D_0) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ is the minimal weak (see 2.2) resolution of singularities. Let $\pi \colon (X, D) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ be the minimal log resolution. Clearly, we have a birational factorizing morphism $\psi_0 \colon (X, D) \to (X_0, D_0)$. Let E_0 and E be the proper transforms of $\bar{E}$ on X_0 and X respectively. If $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ is not of log general type then by 2.6 it is $\mathbb{C}^1$- or $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibered, $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = -\infty$ and $\bar{E}$ satisfies the Coolidge-Nagata conjecture. Cuspidal curves of this type are classified (see [FdBLMHN07, 5.2] and references there). In fact one can derive the classification from what is known on $\mathbb{C}^1$- and $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibered $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes (see [MS91]). Therefore, we may and shall assume that $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ is of log general type.

Remark 3.1 (The strategy)**.** Our basic idea is to run the logarithmic minimal model program for $(X, \frac{1}{2}D)$ (or rather for $(X_0, \frac{1}{2}D_0)$, but this is just a technical detail). At this point we should remark that one can of course run the log MMP in the usual way, i.e. for (X, D). But because $X \setminus D$ is a smooth affine surface of log general type which has Euler characteristic 1, it cannot contain lines (see [Miy01, 3.4.10.1]), so only the rays supported in D get contracted. Unfortunately, although the process of minimalization in this case does not contract any curve in the affine part, the nefness of the log canonical divisor of the minimal model gives rather weak consequences. On the other hand, as we will see, running the log MMP for $(X, \frac{1}{2}D)$ leads to contracting curves in the affine part, but now the nefness of the log canonical divisor or the log Mori space structure of the minimal model give strong bounds. Crucially, if the open part of the surface is not $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibered then $K_Y + \frac{1}{2}D_Y$ is nef or anti-ample, so its square is non-negative (see 4.5(6) for the resulting inequality).

Since $(\operatorname{Pic} \mathbb{P}^2) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by $\bar{E}$, $(\operatorname{Pic} X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is generated freely by the components of D. Because $D - E$ has a negative definite intersection matrix, the intersection matrix of D is not negative definite by the Hodge index theorem. Minimality of the log resolution $\pi \colon (X, D) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ implies that all (-1)-curves of D are branching. Let Q_i be the reduced exceptional divisor over the cusp q_i. It is a rational tree with a negative definite intersection matrix. There is a unique (-1)-curve in Q_i, say L_i, and it is contained in a maximal twig of Q_i. We have $E \cdot Q_i = E \cdot L_i = 1$. Because π is minimal, L_i is not a tip of D, so $Q_i - L_i$ has two connected components. One of them is a rational chain and the other a rational tree; L_i meets them in tips. Because Q_i contracts to a smooth point, it is in fact a three-valent tree with $d(Q_i) = d([1]) = 1$. Furthermore, Q_i can be seen as being produced by a *connected sequence of blow-ups*, i.e. we can decompose the morphism contracting it to a point into a sequence of blow-ups $\sigma_1 \circ \ldots \circ \sigma_s$, so that then the center of σ_{i+1} belongs to the exceptional component of σ_i for $i \geq 1$. The Eisenbud-Neumann diagram of Q_j is:



Now we analyze the logarithmic Minimal Model Program run for the log surface $(X_0, \frac{1}{2}D_0)$. For basic notions and theorems of the program the reader is referred to [KK94]

and [Mat02, KM98]. Recall that if Y is a normal projective surface then a morphism $\alpha\colon Y \to Z$ onto a normal variety Z is called a *contraction* if it has connected fibers. We define $\rho(Y) = \operatorname{rk}\mathrm{NS}(Y)$, where $\mathrm{NS}(Y)$ is the Neron-Severi group of Y, and we put $\rho(\alpha) = \rho(Y/Z) = \rho(Y) - \rho(Z)$. If D is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on Y then a contraction $\alpha\colon Y \to Z$ is *extremal* with respect to $K_Y + D$ if and only if $\rho(\alpha) = 1$. We will use only contractions for which the contracted numerical class intersects $K_Y + D$ negatively.

Definition 3.2.

(i) A cusp of a planar curve is *semi-ordinary* if it is locally analytically isomorphic to the singular point of $x^2 = y^{2m+1}$ at $0 \in \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ for some $m \geq 1$.

(ii) Let T be a reduced effective divisor. A subdivisor of T of type $C = [2, 1, 3, (2)_{m-1}]$ with $m \geq 1$, such that $T - C$ meets C exactly in the (-1)-curve of C, in one point nad transversally, is a *semi-ordinary ending of* T.

Note that an *ordinary (simple) cusp* is exactly a semi-ordinary cusp for which $m = 1$. The exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of a semi-ordinary cusp is $[2, 1, 3, (2)_{m-1}]$, where $(2)_{m-1}$ is a chain of (-2)-curves of length $m - 1 \geq 0$. For instance, D contains a semi-ordinary ending if and only if $\bar{E}$ has at least one semi-ordinary cusp. It is easy to see that all components of a semi-ordinary ending of D are contracted when the logarithmic Minimal Model Program is run for the log surface $(X, \frac{1}{2}D)$. On the other hand, since E_0 is tangent to all (-1)-curves of D_0, D_0 contains no semi-ordinary endings.

We now define by induction a finite sequence of birational contractions

$$\psi_i\colon (X_{i-1}, D_{i-1}) \to (X_i, D_i),\ 1 \leq i \leq n$$

starting from the minimal weak resolution (X_0, D_0) defined above.

Notation 3.3. Assume (X_i, D_i) is defined. Write K_i for the canonical divisor on X_i.

(i) Let Δ_i be the sum of all maximal (-2)-twigs of D_i.

(ii) Let Υ_i be the sum of (-1)-curves L in D_i, for which either $\beta_{D_i}(L) = 3$ and $L \cdot \Delta_i = 1$ or $\beta_{D_i}(L) = 2$ and L meets exactly one component of D_i.

(iii) Decompose Δ_i as $\Delta_i = \Delta_i^+ + \Delta_i^-$, where Δ_i^+ consists of these (-2)-twigs of D_i which meet Υ_i.

(iv) Put $D_i^\flat = D_i - \Upsilon_i - \Delta_i^+ - \mathrm{Bk}'\,\Delta_i^-$, where $\mathrm{Bk}'\,\Delta_i^- = \mathrm{Bk}_{D_i}(\Delta_i^-)$ (see Section 2).

We now denote the properties of ψ_i and the pairs (X_i, D_i) we will prove:

(P_1) X_i is smooth,
(P_2) D_i contains no semi-ordinary endings or superfluous (-1)-curves,
(P_3) $D_i - E_i$, where $E_i = \psi_{i*}E_{i-1}$, is an snc-divisor,
(P_4) E_i is a smooth rational curve,
(P_5) D_i is not an snc-divisor,
(P_6) $D_i - \Delta_i - \Upsilon_i$ is connected,
(P_7) Components of Υ_i are disjoint, each meets at most one component of Δ_i.
(P_8) $X_i \setminus D_i$ is affine and contains no affine lines.

Note that (when proved) property (P_7) implies that $b_0(\Delta_i^+) \leq \#\Upsilon_i$ and that $\Upsilon_i + \Delta_i$ can be contracted by a birational morphism.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume (X_i, D_i) satisfies (P_1)-(P_8). Let $\alpha_i\colon (X_i, D_i) \to (Y_i, D_{Y_i})$ be the contraction of $\Upsilon_i + \Delta_i$. Then*

(i) $\alpha_i^*(K_{Y_i} + \frac{1}{2}D_{Y_i}) = K_i + \frac{1}{2}D_i^\flat$.

(ii) $(Y_i, \frac{1}{2}D_{Y_i})$ *has log terminal singularities (with discrepancies* $\geq -\frac{1}{2}$*).*

(iii) If $\theta\colon Y_i \to Z$ is a birational extremal contraction, negative with respect to $K_{Y_i} + \frac{1}{2}D_{Y_i}$, then the contracted curve is not a component of D_{Y_i}.

Proof. (i) Since $\Upsilon_i+\Delta_i$ is contracted by α_i, it has a negative definite intersection matrix, so the divisor $\alpha^*(K_{Y_i}+\frac{1}{2}D_{Y_i})-K_i$ is determined uniquely by the fact that, by the projection formula, it intersects trivially with every component of $\Upsilon_i+\Delta_i$. But it follows from the definition of $D_i^\flat$ that this is the case for $\frac{1}{2}D_i^\flat$.

(ii) From (i) we see that if we write

$$K_i+\alpha_{i*}^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}D_{Y_i})=\alpha_i^*(K_{Y_i}+\frac{1}{2}D_{Y_i})+\sum a_U U,$$

where the sum runs over irreducible curves U contracted by α, then the discrepancies a_U are bigger than $-\frac{1}{2}$. It remains to show that singularities of (Y_i, D_{Y_i}) coming from tangency of some components of D_0 are log terminal. Let $R_1+U_1+U_2+\ldots+U_n+R_2$ be a chain of smooth rational curves on a smooth surface V, such that $U=U_1+U_2+\ldots+U_n$ is of type $[1,2,\ldots,2]$ and let R_3 be a smooth rational curve intersecting the chain in U_1, transversally. Put $R=R_1+R_2+R_3$. It is enough to check that for $R+U$ the contraction of U creates at most log terminal singularities. We allow $R_2=0$, which corresponds to $s_j=1$ for some j. If $p\colon V\to Y$ denotes the contraction then we get

$$p^*p_*(K_V+\frac{1}{2}(R+U))=K_V+\frac{1}{2}R$$

in case $R_2=0$ and

$$p^*p_*(K_V+\frac{1}{2}(R+U))=K_V+\frac{1}{2}R+U$$

in case $R_2\neq 0$, so the discrepancies are equal to 0 and $-\frac{1}{2}$ respectively.

(iii) Suppose $B'=\operatorname{Exc}\theta$ is a component of D_{Y_i}. Let B be the proper transform of B' on X_i. Put $R=D_i-\Delta_i-\Upsilon_i$. Using the projection and adjunction formulas we get

$$0>(2K_{Y_i}+D_{Y_i})\cdot B'=(2K_i+D_i^\flat)\cdot B=\beta_R(B)+\Delta_i^-\cdot B-4-B^2-B\cdot \operatorname{Bk}'\Delta_i^-.$$

By 2.1(ii) if V is the component of D_i meeting a given twig T then $V\cdot\operatorname{Bk}'T=\frac{1}{d(T)}\leq\frac{1}{2}$, hence

$$0\leq 2\beta_R(B)+B\cdot\Delta_i^-\leq 7+2B^2.$$

We get $B^2\geq -3$. Since $\alpha_i(B)=B'\neq 0$, the definition of Δ_i^+ shows that $B\cdot\Delta_i^+=0$.

Suppose $B^2=-3$. Then $\beta_R(B)=0$ and $B\cdot\Delta^-\leq 1$. Negative definiteness of the intersection matrix of $B+\Upsilon_i+\Delta_i$ implies that $B\cdot\Upsilon_i\leq 1$. Moreover, if $L\in\Upsilon_i$ meets B and Δ_L is the connected component of Δ_i^+ meeting L then $\Delta_L=[2]$, so B is a part of a semi-ordinary ending of D_i, which contradicts the assumption. It follows that $B^2=-2$ or $B^2=-1$. In both cases the definition of Δ_i together with the negative definiteness of $B+\Upsilon_i+\Delta_i$ imply that $B\cdot(\Upsilon_i+\Delta_i^+)=0$ and $B\cdot\Delta_i^-\leq 1$. We obtain

$$0\leq 2\beta_D(B)\leq B\cdot\Delta_i^-+7+2B^2.$$

Suppose $B\cdot\Delta_i^-=1$. For $B^2=-2$ we get $\beta_D(B)\leq 2$, which contradicts the definition of Δ_i^-. For $B^2=-1$ we get $\beta_D(B)\leq 3$, so $B\in\Upsilon_i$; a contradiction. Therefore $B\cdot\Delta_i^-=0$ and $\beta_D(B)\leq 3+B^2$. If $B^2=-1$ then B is a superfluous (-1)-curve and if $B^2=-2$ then it is a (-2)-tip of D. In both cases we arrive at a contradiction. □

Corollary 3.5. *If $K_i+\frac{1}{2}D_i^\flat$ is not nef and $\alpha_i(X_i,\frac{1}{2}D_i)$ is not a log Mori fiber space then there exists a (-1)-curve A_i on X_i, not a subdivisor of D_i, which is $(K_i+\frac{1}{2}D_i^\flat)$-negative. Moreover,*

$$A_i\cdot(\Upsilon_i+\Delta_i^+)=0\quad\text{and}\quad A_i\cdot(D_i-\Delta_i^-)=A_i\cdot\Delta_i^-=1$$

and the component of Δ_i^- meeting A_i is a tip of Δ_i^-.

Proof. By the contraction theorem of log MMP there exists an extremal birational contraction $Y_i \to Z$ of a $(K_{Y_i} + \frac{1}{2}D_{Y_i})$-negative curve. Let A_i be the proper transform of the curve on X_i. By 3.4 A_i is not a subdivisor of D_i and $(2K_i + D_i^\flat) \cdot A_i < 0$. It follows that $A_i \cdot K_i < 0$, so A_i is a (-1)-curve and $A_i \cdot (D_i - \Upsilon_i - \Delta_i^+) < 2 + A_i \cdot \mathrm{Bk}'\,\Delta_i^-$. As we already noticed in the proof above, the negative definiteness of the intersection matrix of $B+\Upsilon_i+\Delta_i$ implies $A_i\cdot(\Upsilon_i+\Delta_i^+) = 0$ and $A_i\cdot\Delta_i^- \leq 1$. It also implies that the component of Δ_i^- meeting A_i is a tip of Δ_i (Δ_i may have two tips, and it does not have to be the one which is a tip of D_i). By (P_8) we have $A_i \cdot D_i \geq 2$, so $2 \leq A_i \cdot D_i < 2 + A_i \cdot \mathrm{Bk}'\,\Delta_i^- \leq 3$. Then $A_i \cdot \Delta_i^- = 1$ and $A_i \cdot D_i = 2$. □

We now define (X_i, D_i) by induction. The definition will be completed once we check that properties (P_1)-(P_8) indeed hold for (X_{i_0+1}, D_{i_0+1}). For this see 4.1.

Definition 3.6. Assume (X_i, D_i) and ψ_i for $0 \leq i \leq i_0$ are defined and that the pair (X_i, D_i) satisfies properties (P_1)-(P_8). Let $\alpha_i\colon (X_i, D_i) \to (Y_i, D_{Y_i})$ be as in 3.4.

(i) If $K_{i_0} + \frac{1}{2}D_{i_0}^\flat$ is nef or if $\alpha_{i_0}(X_{i_0}, \frac{1}{2}D_{i_0})$ is a log Mori fiber space then we put $n = i_0$ and so ψ_{i_0} is the last morphism in the sequence. Otherwise we define

$$\psi_{i_0+1}\colon (X_{i_0}, D_{i_0}) \to (X_{i_0+1}, D_{i_0+1}),$$

where $D_{i_0+1} = \psi_{(i_0+1)*}D_i$, as the composition of the contraction of some A_{i_0} given by 3.5 and successive contractions of superfluous (-1)-curves in the image of D_{i_0}.

(ii) We say that ψ_{i+1} is a *contraction of type II* if it contracts both components of D_i meeting A_i. Otherwise it is of *type I*.

(iii) We call $(Y_n, \frac{1}{2}D_{Y_n})$ a *minimal model* of $(X_0, \frac{1}{2}D_0)$.

(iv) Let $\varphi_n\colon (X_n', D_n') \to (Y_n, D_n)$ be the minimal log resolution. We call $(X_n', \frac{1}{2}D_n')$ an *almost minimal model* of $(X_0, \frac{1}{2}D_0)$.

Remark. The definition of an almost minimal model is analogous to the definition in the theory of log surfaces in case of a simple normal crossing boundary with integral coefficients (see [Miy01, 2.3.11, 2.4.3][2]). We want to emphasize that at each step of the construction there may be more than one choice of A_i (equivalently of ψ_{i+1}) and a priori the final model (X_n, D_n) and in fact also the number 'n' of the steps taken, may depend on these choices. This will not cause any problems. We simply work with a (any) fixed choice of a sequence of A_i's.

Not also that in our approach we study a minimal model of (X_0, D_0) and because of this $E_0 = \psi_0(E)$ is tangent to $D_0 - E_0$ and is never contracted by $\psi_n \circ \ldots \circ \psi_1$. It is essentially a matter of choice, but in a general situation, when the analysis is repeated for a general pair (X, D) it is more natural to define $\psi_0\colon (X, D) \to (X_0, D_0)$ as a birational morphism with smallest possible $\rho(\psi_0)$ which contracts (some) components of D, and such that D_0 contains no $(K_0+\frac{1}{2}D_0)$-negative components of negative self-intersection. However, doing so in our situation we see that this new version of ψ_0 contracts fewer curves and it may happen, for example for $c \leq 2$, $E_n^2 = -1$, that the curve E_n itself is $(K_n + \frac{1}{2}D_n)$-negative (and D_n contains superfluous (-1)-curves), which would cause some additional problems.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $(X_n', \frac{1}{2}D_n')$ be an almost minimal model of the minimal weak resolution of singularities of $(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ as above. If D_n' is not snc-minimal then there exists a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration of X_n' such the intersection index of D_n' with a general fiber is at most three. In particular, $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = -\infty$.*

[2]In loc. cit. the minimal model is called 'relatively minimal'. This however is in conflict with modern terminology.

Proof. Suppose V is a superfluous (-1)-curve in D'_n. Assume first that V is a proper transform of E_n. By the definition of X'_n the component W of $D'_n - V$ over a given cusp of $\bar{E}$ which meets V is a (-1)-curve, non-branching in $D'_n - V$. Because V is superfluous, for $f = V + W$ we have $f \cdot D_n \leq \beta_{D'_n}(V) + 1 \leq 3$, so we are done. Assume V is not a proper transform of E_n. Since there are no superfluous (-1)-curves in D_n, some component L of $\operatorname{Exc}\varphi_n$ meets V. The morphism $\varphi_n\colon X'_n \to X_n$ is a log resolution of non-snc points of D_n and all these points belong to E_n. It follows from the definition of ψ_i, $i \geq 0$ that for each such point at most two components of $D_n - E_n$ pass through it, exactly one of them is tangent to E_n, and each component of $D_n - E_n$ passes through at most one of such point. We may therefore assume that there is only one non-snc point in D_n. The curve $V' = \varphi_n(V)$ meets E_n, because φ_n is minimal. If it is tangent to E_0 then $L^2 = -1$ and L meets at most two components of D'_n other than V. Because V is superfluous, for $f = L + V$ we have $f \cdot D \leq 3$ and $|L + V|$ gives the desired fibration. Assume that V' meets E_n transversally. Then φ_n touches V once, so V' is a 0-curve for which

$$V' \cdot D_n = \beta_{D_n}(V') = \beta_{D'_n}(V) + 1 \leq 3$$

and hence $|f + V|$ gives the fibration. By 2.4(iii) $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = -\infty$. □

Remark 3.8. It follows from the definition that $\psi_0\colon (X, D) \to (X_0, D_0)$ is a minimal log resolution of non-snc points of D_0 (in fact the points of tangency, all belonging to E_0), because the components of D_0 are smooth. For $i = 1, \ldots, n$ let $\varphi_i\colon (X'_i, D'_i) \to (X_i, D_i)$ be the minimal log resolution (which again is a resolution of non-snc points). Put $(X'_0, D'_0) = (X, D)$. Because $\operatorname{Exc}\psi_i$ and $\operatorname{Exc}\varphi_i$ are disjoint we have a lifting $\psi'_i\colon (X'_{i-1}, D'_{i-1})$ of ψ_i. Because $\alpha_i \circ \psi_i$ contracts $\operatorname{Exc}\alpha_{i-1}$ we have a morphism $\psi''_i\colon (Y_{i-1}, D_{Y_{i-1}}) \to (Y_i, D_i)$ which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & (X, D) & \xrightarrow{\psi'_1} & (X'_1, D'_1) & \xrightarrow{\psi'_2} & \ldots & \xrightarrow{\psi'_n} & (X'_n, D'_n) \\
 & \swarrow^{\pi} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_1} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_n} \\
(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) & \xleftarrow[\pi_0]{} & (X_0, D_0) & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & (X_1, D_1) & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & \ldots & \xrightarrow{\psi_n} & (X_n, D_n) \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_1} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_n} \\
 & & (Y_0, D_{Y_0}) & \xrightarrow{\psi''_1} & (Y_1, D_{Y_1}) & \xrightarrow{\psi''_2} & \ldots & \xrightarrow{\psi''_n} & (Y_n, D_{Y_n})
\end{array}$$

4. Minimal models: properties

We now analyze the process of minimalization in detail. We keep the notation from the previous section. Recall that $A_i \subset X_i$ meets a tip of Δ_i, but this tip does not have to be a tip of D_i. Put $\psi = \psi_n \circ \ldots \circ \psi_1$ and $\psi' = \psi'_n \circ \ldots \circ \psi'_1$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let (X_{i+1}, D_{i+1}) and ψ_{i+1}, $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$ be as above. Then:*

(i) X_{i+1}, D_{i+1} and E_{i+1} have the properties (P_1)–(P_8) listed after 3.3.
(ii) $\psi^*_{i+1}(K_{i+1} + D_{i+1}) = K_i + D_i + A_i$.
(iii) $\psi_{(i+1)*}(\Upsilon_i) \leq \Upsilon_{i+1}$.
(iv) If A_i meets Δ_i^- not in a tip of D_i then ψ_{i+1} contracts only A_i (hence is of type I) and we have $b_0(\Delta_{i+1}) = b_0(\Delta_i)$ and $b_0(\Delta^+_{i+1}) - b_0(\Delta^+_i) = \#\Upsilon_{i+1} - \#\Upsilon_i = 1$.
(v) If A_i meets Δ_i^- in a tip of D_i then ψ_{i+1} contracts at least A_i and the connected component of Δ_i^- meeting A_i (with a minor exception when this connected component and A_i meet the same irreducible component of D_i). We have $b_0(\Delta_{i+1}) = b_0(\Delta_i) - 1$ and $b_0(\Delta^+_{i+1}) - b_0(\Delta^+_i) \leq \#\Upsilon_{i+1} - \#\Upsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

(vi) *For every component U of $D_i - \Delta_i$ we have $U \cdot \Delta_i \leq 1$.*

(vii) *For each component U of $D_0 - E_0$ we have $\psi(U) \cdot E_n \leq U \cdot E_0 + 1$. If the equality holds then the unique ψ_i increasing the intersection of the images of U and E_0 is of type I. Moreover, it touches U exactly once and either U is the component of Δ_0^- met by A_{i-1} or there is a unique connected component of Δ_0^- meeting U and this component is contracted by ψ_i.*

(viii) *For every $m \geq 1$ we have $h^0(m(2K_i + D_i)) = h^0(m(2K + D))$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on i.

(i) We only need to check (P_2), (P_6) and (P_7), because the remaining properties follow from the definition of ψ_{i+1}. First of all, D_0 does not contain semi-ordinary endings by the definition of ψ_0. Suppose T is a semi-ordinary ending of D_{i+1}. We may assume that the point $\psi_{i+1}(A_i)$ belongs to T, otherwise the proper transform of T is a semi-ordinary ending of D_i. But $T \cdot (D_{i+1} - T) = 1$, so since A_i intersects D_i in exactly two points, it follows that $D_i - A_i$ is not connected; a contradiction. Properties (P_6) and (P_7), which clearly hold for (X_0, D_0), will follow by induction from the proof of the remaining parts of the proposition. (P_8) holds for $X_0 \setminus D_0$ by 2.4(iv) and holds in general because the complement of $X_{i+1} \setminus D_{i+1}$ in $X_i \setminus D_i$ is a closed subset isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$.

(ii) is a consequence of the fact that ψ_{i+1} is inner for $D_i + A_i$.

(iii) Suppose L is a component of Υ_i, such that $\psi_{i+1}(L)$ is not a component of Υ_{i+1}. Since $A_i \cdot (\Upsilon_i + \Delta_i^+) = 0$, there is a component B in $D_i - \Upsilon_i - \Delta_i^+$ meeting L for which $(\psi_{i+1})_*(B) = 0$. It follows that $B \cdot L = 1$. Since ψ_{i+1} is inner for $D_i + A_i$, B is contained in a maximal twig of D_i. But then (P6) for (X_i, D_i) fails; a contradiction.

(vi) Suppose $U \cdot \Delta_i \geq 2$ and let U_0 be the proper transform of U on X_0. Then U_0 meets two (-2)-twigs of D_0. Clearly, U_0 and these twigs are contained in $D_0 - E_0$. Think of the morphism $\pi_0 \colon X_0 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ as a composition of blowdowns inside D_0. At some point U_0 becomes a (-1)-curve and the (-2)-twigs are not yet touched. Thus some image of $D_0 - E_0$ contains the chain $[2, 1, 2]$, so the intersection matrix of $D_0 - E_0$ is not negative definite. This is a contradiction.

Let V and W be the components of D_i meeting A_i which are subdivisors of Δ_i^- and $D_i - \Delta_i - \Upsilon_i$ respectively. Let Δ_V be the connected component of Δ_i^- containing V.

(iv) Assume V is not a tip of D_i. The contraction of A_i does not touch components other than V and W. It follows from the assumption that the image of V after this contraction is a branching (-1)-curve, a component of Υ_{i+1}. Even if it happens that the image of W is a (-1)-curve, then it is also branching, because W is not a (-2)-tip of D_i. Moreover $W \not\leq \Delta_i$, so $\psi_{i+1}(W) \not\leq \Upsilon_{i+1}$. Therefore, ψ_{i+1} contracts only A_i, $\psi_{(i+1)*}(\Delta_V - V) \leq \Delta_{i+1}^+$ and $\psi_{i+1}(V)$ is the only component of Υ_{i+1} which is not an image of a component of Υ_i. Thus $b_0(\Delta^+)$ increases by 1 under ψ_{i+1}. By (iii) images of components of Υ_i are components of Υ_{i+1}, so we are done. Clearly, (P_6) and (P_7) for (X_{i+1}, D_{i+1}) hold.

(v) Assume V is a tip of D_i. Then $b_0(\Delta_{i+1}) = b_0(\Delta_i) - 1$. If Δ_V and A_i meet a common component of D_i then ψ_{i+1} contracts A_i and all but one irreducible components of Δ_V. In this case $b_0(\Delta_{i+1}) - b_0(\Delta_i) = -1$, $b_0(\Delta_{i+1}^+) - b_0(\Delta_i^+) = 0$ and $\#\Upsilon_{i+1} - \#\Upsilon_i = 1$. We may therefore assume Δ_V and A_i do not meet a common component of D_i. Then ψ_{i+1} contracts at least $A_i + \Delta_V$.

Assume W is not a tip of D_i. Then ψ_{i+1} contracts only $A_i + \Delta_V$, hence is of type I. If T is the component of D_i meeting Δ_V then $\psi_{i+1}(T)^2 = T^2 + 1$, so $\psi_{i+1}(T)$ is not a component of Υ_{i+1}, because either it is not a (-1)-curve or $\beta_{D_i}(T) \geq 3$ and by (vi) T does not meet $\Delta_i - \Delta_V$. If it happens that W meets Δ_i^-, has $\beta_{D_i}(W) = 2$ and $\psi_{i+1}(W)^2 = -1$ then $\psi_{i+1}(W)$ is a component of Υ_{i+1} and the connected component of Δ_i^- meeting W, which is unique by (vi), becomes a connected component of Δ_{i+1}^+. In

other cases $\psi_{i+1}(W)$ is not a component of Υ_{i+1} and $b_0(\Delta^+)$ does not change. We obtain $b_0(\Delta^+_{i+1}) - b_0(\Delta^+_i) = \#\Upsilon_{i+1} - \#\Upsilon_i \leq 1$. Again, we see that (P_6) and (P_7) hold.

Finally, assume W is a tip of D_i. The contractions are inner for $D_i + A_i$ (in particular E_i is not contracted) and they take place inside the sum of A_i and the maximal twigs T_V and T_W of D_i containing V and W respectively. Since T_V, T_W are not contained in Δ^+_i, by property (P_6) for X_i the components V' and W' are not components of Υ_i. Let V' and W' be the branching components of D_i meeting T_V and T_W respectively. Since each of V', W' can meet Δ^-_i at most once, we have $b_0(\Delta^+_{i+1}) - b_0(\Delta^+_i) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

If $V' \neq W'$ then only V' and W' may become new components of Υ_{i+1} and we have $b_0(\Delta^+_{i+1}) - b_0(\Delta^+_i) = \#\Upsilon_{i+1} - \#\Upsilon_i$. On the other hand, if $V' = W'$ then $\beta_{D_i}(V') \geq 3$ and we see easily that $\psi_{i+1}(V')$ is not a component of Υ_{i+1}, so $b_0(\Delta^+_{i+1}) - b_0(\Delta^+_i) = 0$. However, in the latter case it may happen that $T_V + A_i + T_W$ contracts to a (-1)-curve, which then becomes a component of Υ_{i+1}, hence $\#\Upsilon_{i+1} - \#\Upsilon_i \leq 1$. We see also that in any case, if $b_0(\Delta^+_{i+1}) - b_0(\Delta^+_i) \leq 1$ then (P_6) and (P_7) hold.

Suppose $b_0(\Delta^+_{i+1}) - b_0(\Delta^+_i) = 2$. Then $W' \neq V'$. From the discussion above it follows also that ψ_{i+1} contracts $T_V + A_i + T_W$ and makes V' and W' into (-1)-curves with $\beta_{D_{i+1}} = 3$. In particular, at least one of V', W' is a (-2)-curve. Furthermore, there are (-2)-twigs Δ'_V and Δ'_W meeting V' and W' respectively (which become part of Δ^+ after taking ψ_{i+1}). Since $\Delta'_V + V' + T_V$ and $T_W + W' + \Delta'_W$ are chains meeting the remaining part of D_i once, they do not contain images of A_j's (which are points) for $j < i$. Indeed, otherwise, because $A_j \cdot D_j = 2$, reverting the contractions we would get that D_0 is disconnected. We therefore use the same letters for $\Delta'_V, V', T_V, T_W, W', \Delta'_W, A_i$ and their proper transforms on X. Since $F_\infty = V' + T_V + A_i + T_W + W'$ contracts to $\psi_{i+1}(V' + W')$, and hence to a 0-curve, there is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration $\lambda\colon X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with F_∞ as one of the fibers. This fibration has exactly four sections contained in D, each intersecting a general fiber once. Since E meets only (-1)-curves in D, it does not intersect F_∞, so it is vertical for λ. Let C be a (-1)-curve in D meeting E. By the definition of D it is contained in some maximal twig of D. Consequently, $C \cdot V' = C \cdot W' = 0$, hence C is also vertical for λ. Denote the fiber of λ containing it by F_C and let S_1 and S_2 be the components of $D - E$ meeting C. The divisor $C + E + S_1 + S_2$ cannot be vertical, because fibers of $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibrations do not contain branching (-1)-curves. Thus, say, S_1 is horizontal for λ, which implies that C has multiplicity 1 in F_C. If so, then it is necessarily a tip of F_C, so S_2 is horizontal for λ. But both S_i intersect $V' + W'$, which contradicts the fact that C is a part of some maximal twig of D.

(vii) First of all we note that ψ does not contract any component of D_0 meeting E_0, because the points of intersection with E_0 are not snc. It follows that if A_i does not meet E_i then ψ_{i+1} does not change intersections of components of $D_i - E_i$ with E_i. Suppose $A_i \cdot E_i = 1$ and let Δ_{A_i} be the connected component of Δ^-_i intersected by A_i. We may assume that A_i meets Δ_{A_i} in a tip of D_i, otherwise the statement is clear. Then ψ_{i+1} contracts exactly $A_i + \Delta_{A_i}$, so it increases (by 1) the intersection with E_i for only one component of $D_i - E_i$, say U, the one which meets Δ_{A_i}. By (vi) U meets at most one (-2)-twig of D_0, so we are done.

(viii) By 2.4(ii) we only need to show that $h^0(m(2K_i + D_i))$ is not affected ψ_{i+1}. If Y is smooth and $\sigma : (Y', B') \to (Y, B)$, where $B' = \sigma_*^{-1}B + \operatorname{Exc}\sigma$, is an inner blowup for B then

$$2K_{Y'} + B' = \sigma^*(2K_Y + B) + \operatorname{Exc}\sigma,$$

so $h^0(m(2K_Y + B)) = h^0(m(2K_{Y'} + B'))$ for every $m \geq 0$. By the definition of ψ_{i+1} it is therefore enough to show that

$$h^0(m(2K_i + D_i + A_i)) = h^0(m(2K_i + D_i)).$$

But $A_i \cdot (2K_i + D_i) = 0$, so A_i is in the fixed part of any linear system $|m(2K_i + D_i) + aA_i|$ with $a > 0$. □

Notation 4.2. Let $q_1, \ldots, q_c$ be the cusps of $\bar{E}$ and let $j \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$. We define the following numbers:

(i) $\tau_j \geq 2$ is the number of times ψ_0 touches E (equivalently, the number of curves over the cusp q_j contracted by ψ_0),
(ii) s_j is equal to 1 if ψ_0 contains (in a decomposition into blowdowns) a contraction over q_j which is outer for $D - E$ and 0 otherwise,
(iii) $\tau_j^* = \tau_j - s_j - 1 \geq 0$,
(iv) $\tau^* = \sum_{j=1}^c \tau_j^*$, $s = \sum_{j=1}^c s_j$, $\tau = \sum_{j=1}^c \tau_j$,
(v) n_k for $k = 0, 1$ is the number of contracted A_i's, i.e. the (-1)-curves defined in 3.5, for which $A_i \cdot E_i = k$.
(vi) c_0 and c_1 are the numbers of semi-ordinary and non-semi ordinary cusps of $\bar{E}$ respectively.
(vii) $\eta = \#\Upsilon_n - \#\Upsilon_0$.

Clearly, $n = n_0 + n_1 = n_T + n_N$ and $\#\Upsilon_0 = c_0$. By 4.1 $n_N \leq \eta \leq n$.

Lemma 4.3. *With the above notation we have:*

(i) $K \cdot (K + D) = p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$,
(ii) $\#D_i = \rho(X_i) + i$ *for* $i \geq 0$,
(iii) $n + p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \leq 5$, *and if the equality holds then* $n \neq 0$, $s = 0$ *and* D_n *has no tips.*
(iv) $K_n \cdot (K_n + D_n) = p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) - c - \tau^* - n$,
(v) $E_n \cdot (K_n + D_n) = 2c - 2 + \tau^* + n_1$,
(vi) $(D_n - E_n) \cdot E_n = 2c + n_1 + \tau^*$,
(vii) $p_a(D_n) = n + \tau^* + c$.

Proof. (i) The Riemann-Roch theorem gives

$$p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) - h^1(2K + D) + h^2(2K + D) = K \cdot (K + D).$$

By 2.4(iv) $(K + D)^- = \operatorname{Bk} D$, so by 2.1(i) $(K + D)^-$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor with simple normal crossing support and proper fractional coefficients. Since $(K + D)^+$ is nef and big, the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem (see for example [Laz04, 9.1.18]) says that $h^i(2K + D) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

(ii) Since (X, D) is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane, we have $\#D = \rho(X)$. By the definition of X_i we have $\#D_i - \rho(X_i) = \#D_{i-1} - \rho(X_{i-1}) + 1$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

(iii) Let A_i', $i = 1, \ldots, n$ denote the proper transform of A_i on X and let $(X, D + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i') \to (\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{D})$ be the snc-minimalization of $D + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i'$. Note that if $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \geq 0$ then by 3.7 the boundary D_n' of an almost minimal model is already snc-minimal, so $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{D}) = (X_n', D_n')$. Both pairs are smooth completions of $X_n \setminus D_n$. Note also that it is not possible that $c = 1$ and E is a (-1)-tip of D, because otherwise $|E + C|$, where C is the unique (-1)-curve in $D - E$, induces a $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration of $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{\bar{E}}$, an hence $\kappa(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}) < 2$. Therefore, by the properties of A_i's we see that $(A_i')^2 = -1$ and that the minimalization morphism is inner for the boundary, hence it does not change the self-intersection of the log canonical divisor. The latter is

$$(K_X + D + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i')^2 = (K_X + D)^2 + n = p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) - 2 + n.$$

The surface $X \setminus D$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane of log general type, so it contains no topologically contractible curves. It follows that $\widetilde{X} - \widetilde{D}$ is of log general type and contains

no topologically contractible curves, hence is almost minimal in the sense of [Miy01]. We have also $\chi(\widetilde{X} \setminus \widetilde{D}) = \chi(X \setminus D)$, because $A_i \cap (X_i \setminus D_i)$ is a $\mathbb{C}^*$. By the logarithmic Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality, proved originally by Kobayashi-Nakamura-Sakai, (for a formulation convenient for our purposes, which follows from [Lan03], see [Pal11, 2.5]) we get

$$(K_{\widetilde{X}} + \widetilde{D})^2 + \mathrm{ind}(\widetilde{D}) \leq ((K_{\widetilde{X}} + \widetilde{D})^+)^2 \leq 3\chi(\widetilde{X} \setminus \widetilde{D}) = 3,$$

so

$$p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + n + \mathrm{ind}(\tilde{D}) \leq 5. \tag{4.1}$$

If $\mathrm{ind}(\tilde{D}) = 0$ then necessarily $(K_{\widetilde{X}} + \widetilde{D})^- = 0$, so $\widetilde{D}$ (and hence D'_n) has no maximal twigs, hence no tips. Equivalently, $s_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, c$ (the twigs of D contracted by ψ_0 are disjoint from all A'_i) and D_n has no tips. Finally, $D'_0 = D$ has at least three maximal twigs, so if $n = 0$ then $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \neq 5$.

(iv) Since ψ_i is a composition of blowdowns which are inner with respect to $D_{i-1} + A_{i-1}$, we have

$$\psi_i^*(K_i + D_i) = K_{i-1} + D_{i-1} + A_{i-1},$$

so $K_i \cdot (K_i + D_i) = K_{i-1} \cdot (K_{i-1} + D_{i-1}) - 1$ and we get $K_n \cdot (K_n + D_n) = K_0 \cdot (K_0 + D_0) - n$. From the definition of ψ_0 we compute $K_0 \cdot (K_0 + D_0 - E_0) = K \cdot (K + D - E) + s$ and $K_0 \cdot E_0 = K \cdot E - \tau$, so

$$K_0 \cdot (K_0 + D_0) = K \cdot (K + D) - c - \tau^* = p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) - c - \tau^*.$$

(v) Using the remark on ψ_i from (iv) we have

$$E_i \cdot (K_i + D_i) = E_{i-1} \cdot (K_{i-1} + D_{i-1}) + E_{i-1} \cdot A_{i-1},$$

so $E_n \cdot (K_n + D_n) = E_0 \cdot (K_0 + D_0) + n_1$. Now $E_0 \cdot (K_0 + D_0 - E_0) = E \cdot (K + D - E) - s$ and $E_0^2 = E^2 + \tau$, so

$$E_0 \cdot (K_0 + D_0) = E \cdot (K + D) + \tau^* + c.$$

Finally, $E \cdot (K + D) = \beta_D(E) - 2 = c - 2$.

(vi) $(D_n - E_n) \cdot E_n = (D_0 - E_0) \cdot E_0 + n_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{t} (\tau_j + 1 - s_j) + n_1 = \tau^* + 2c + n_1$.

(vii) Elementary properties of the arithmetic genus give $p_a(D_n) = p_a(D_0) + n$ and $p_a(D_0) = p_a(D_0 - E_0) + (D_0 - E_0) \cdot E_0 - 1$, so

$$p_a(D_0) = (1 - c) + \sum_{j=1}^{c} (\tau_j + 1 - s_j) - 1 = \tau^* + c.$$

□

We now prove one of the bounds in Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4, part 1. By (4.1) $\mathrm{ind}(\tilde{D}) \leq 5 - p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) - n$. Note that every $\pi_0(A_i) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$, $i > 1$ meets $\pi_0(A_1)$, and by the definition of A_i's the intersection point is necessarily one of the cusps of $\bar{E}$. Therefore, in the process of minimalization at least $c - n - 1$ cusps are untouched. It is a straightforward computation (see [ZO96, 4.2]) that the contribution to the inductance of the boundary from the twigs over any cusp is strictly bigger than $\frac{1}{2}$, hence $\frac{1}{2}(c - n - 1) < \mathrm{ind}(\tilde{D})$, which gives $c + n + 2p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \leq 10$. On the other hand, applying the BMY inequality to (X, D) we have $\frac{1}{2}c < \mathrm{ind}(D) \leq 5 - p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$, so $c + 2p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \leq 9$. These inequalities may be written together as

$$c + 2p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + \max(0, n - 1) \leq 9. \tag{4.2}$$

□

We now want to compute the square of $2K_n + D_n^\flat$. We define Υ_i^0 to be the sum of these components of Υ_i which do not meet Δ_i^+. By the definition of Υ_i, every component U of Υ_i^0 if a (-1)-curve meeting exactly one component of D_i and has $\beta_{D_i}(U) = 2$ (so it is tangent to this component or meets it twice transversally). Clearly, $(\psi_{i+1})_*\Upsilon_i^0 \le \Upsilon_{i+1}^0$ and Υ_0^0 consists of the C_i's for which $q_i \in \bar{E}$ is an ordinary cusp.

Remark. Note that Υ_{i+1}^0 may contain more components than just $(\psi_{i+1})_*\Upsilon_i^0$. To see this let $U_1 + \ldots + U_k$ be the (-2)-twig of D_i met by A_i and let U_{k+1} be the component of $D_i - U_1 - \ldots - U_k$ met by A_i. If, for instance, $A_i \cdot U_1 = U_k \cdot U_{k+1} = 1$ (so $A_i + U_1 + \ldots + U_k + U_{k+1}$ is an snc cycle) then ψ_{i+1} contracts exactly $A_i + U_1 + \ldots + U_{k-1}$ and $(\psi_{i+1})_*U_k$ is a (-1)-curve meeting U_{k+1} in two different points, hence it is a component of Υ_{i+1}^0. Similarly, if U_{k+1} and $U_1 + \ldots + U_k$ are maximal twigs of D_i meeting a common component U_{k+2} then $(\psi_{i+1})_*U_{k+1}$ is a component of $\Upsilon_{i+1}^0 - (\psi_{i+1})_*\Upsilon_i^0$. In both examples ψ_{i+1} is of type I, but this is not true in general.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$, (X_n, D_n) and Υ_n^0 be as above. Let $(X_n', \frac{1}{2}D_n')$ be an almost minimal model as in 3.6 and Δ_n' the sum of maximal (-2)-twigs of D_n'. Then*

$$(2K_n + D_n^\flat)^2 + \delta(\Delta_n^-) = 3p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 8 + b_0(\Delta_n') + \#\Upsilon_n^0 - \rho(X_n') - n. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. We have $(\Upsilon_n + \Delta_n) \cdot (2K_n + D^\flat) = 0$ and $([1, 2, \ldots, 2])^2 = -1$, so by 2.1 and 4.3(vi)

$$(2K_n + D_n^\flat)^2 = (2K_n + D_n)^2 - (\Upsilon_n + \Delta_n^+)^2 - (\mathrm{Bk}'\,\Delta_n^-)^2 = (2K_n + D_n)^2 + \#\Upsilon_n + \mathrm{ind}(\Delta_n^-).$$

We have $\#\Upsilon_n = c_0 + \eta$ and we compute easily $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta_n^-) = b_0(\Delta_n^-) - \delta(\Delta_n^-)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}(2K_n + D_n)^2 &= K_n^2 + 3K_n \cdot (K_n + D_n) + D_n \cdot (K_n + D_n)\\ &= K_n^2 + 3(p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) - c - \tau^* - n) + 2(n + \tau^* + c - 1)\\ &= K_n^2 + 3p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) - 2 - c - \tau^* - n.\end{aligned}$$

The Noether formula gives

$$(2K_n + D_n^\flat)^2 = 3p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 8 + b_0(\Delta_n^-) - \delta(\Delta_n^-) - \rho(X_n) - \tau^* - (n - \eta) - c_1.$$

Fix $j \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$. Let C_j be the (-1)-curve in $\widetilde{Q}_j$ meeting E_0. If $s_j \ne 1$ then there is exactly one more component of $\widetilde{Q}$ meeting E_0, call it B_0. It meets E_0 transversally. Put $B_0 = 0$ if $s_1 = 1$. Let A, B and A', B' be the proper transforms of A_0, B_0 on X_n and on X_n' respectively. Clearly, A and B are not contained in (-2)-twigs of D_0. We have $(A')^2 = A^2 - \tau$ and $(B')^2 = B^2 - 1$. If A' is a component of Δ_n' then $A^2 = \tau - 2 \ge 0$, so ψ touches A_0. But then A is not contained in a twig of D_n, which is impossible. If B' is a component of Δ_n' then B is a (-1)-curve non-branching in $D_0 - E_0$, which is impossible by the definition of ψ_0. Thus A', B' are not components of Δ_n'. We get $b_0(\Delta_n') = b_0(\Delta_n) + s$. By the definition of Δ_i^+ we have $\#\Upsilon_i - b_0(\Delta_i^+) = \#\Upsilon_i^0$. Then

$$b_0(\Delta_n') - s = b_0(\Delta_n^-) + b_0(\Delta_n^+) = b_0(\Delta_n^-) + \#\Upsilon_n - \#\Upsilon_n^0.$$

We have $\#\Upsilon_n = c_0 + \eta$ and $\rho(X_n') = \rho(X_n) + \tau$, hence

$$(2K_n + D_n^\flat)^2 = 3p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 8 + b_0(\Delta_n') + \#\Upsilon_n^0 - \delta(\Delta_n^-) - \rho(X_n') - n.$$

□

By 4.3(iii) $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \leq 4$. Recall that α_n is the morphism defined on X_n which contracts $\Upsilon_n + \Delta_n$. An *open log del Pezzo surface of rank* 1 is a log surface (X, B), such that $-(K_X + B)$ is ample, $B \neq 0$ and $\rho(X) = 1$. We summarize our analysis in the following theorem, which implies 1.1. (To recover 1.1(4) use (4.6) and 1.4).

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be a rational cuspidal curve for which $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ is of log general type and let $(X_0, D_0) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ be the minimal weak resolution of singularities. Let $(X', \frac{1}{2}D')$ and $(Y, \frac{1}{2}D_Y)$ denote an almost minimal and a minimal model of $(X_0, \frac{1}{2}D_0)$. Put $n = p_a(D')$ Then:*

(1) $X' \setminus D' \cong Y \setminus D_Y$ is isomorphic to an open subset of $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E} \cong X_0 \setminus D_0$ with the complement being a disjoint sum of n curves isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$.

(2) $\#D' = \rho(X') + n$ and $K' \cdot D' = p_2(\mathbb{P}, \bar{E}) + \rho(X') - n - 10$.

(3) $n + p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \leq 5$, and if the equality holds then $n \neq 0$ and D' has no twigs.

(4) If $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = -\infty$ then one of the following holds:

(a) Y admits a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration with irreducible fibers inducing a $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibration on $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$.

(b) $(Y, \frac{1}{2}D_Y)$ is an open log terminal log del Pezzo surface of rank 1, whose boundary has $n + 1 \leq 6$ components.

(5) If $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \geq 0$ then (X', D') is snc-minimal and $n \leq 4$.

(6) If $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ does not admit a structural $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibration then

$$\rho(X') \leq 3p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 8 - n + b_0(\Delta'_0) + c'_0,$$

where Δ'_0 is the sum of maximal (-2)-twigs of D and c'_0 is the number of ordinary cusps of $\bar{E}$.

Proof. We have $(Y, D_Y) = (Y_n, D_{Y_n})$ and $(X', D') = (X'_n, D'_n)$. (1) Recall (3.8) that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & (X, D) & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & (X'_n, D'_n) & & \\ & \swarrow^{\pi} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_n} & & \\ (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) & \xleftarrow[\pi_0]{} & (X_0, D_0) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & (X_n, D_n) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & (Y_n, D_{Y_n}) \ . \end{array}$$

The morphism $\alpha_n \circ \varphi_n \colon (X'_n, D'_n) \to (X_n, D_n) \to (Y_n, D_{Y_n})$ is the minimal log resolution of singularities, so $X'_n \setminus D'_n \cong X_n \setminus D_n \cong Y_n \setminus D_{Y_n}$. By 3.5 $A_i \cap (X_i \setminus D_i) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$. Also, $p_a(D') = p_a(D) + n = n$.

(2) As in the proof of 4.3(iv) we have

$$K'_n \cdot (K'_n + D'_n) = K \cdot (K + D) = p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}),$$

so by the Noether formula

$$K'_n \cdot D'_n = p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + \rho(X'_n) - n - 10.$$

By 4.3(ii) $\#D'_n - \rho(X'_n) = \#D_n - \rho(X_n) = n$.

(3) follows from 4.3(iii) and from the fact that D'_n has no twigs if and only if $s = 0$ and D_n has no twigs.

(4) The pair $(Y_n, \frac{1}{2}D_{Y_n}) = (\alpha_n(X_n), \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n*}D_n)$ is a log Mori fiber space by 3.5. Because of the affiness of $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ the divisor D_{Y_n} is nonzero. If the base of the fiber space is a point then Y_n is a log del Pezzo surface of rank 1. Its singularities are log terminal by 3.4 and $\#D_{Y_n} - \rho(Y_n) = \#D_n - \rho(X_n) = n$ by 4.3(ii). Assume the base is a curve and let f be a general fiber. Then we have $f \cdot (K_{Y_n} + \frac{1}{2}D_{Y_n}) < 0$, so $f \cdot D_{Y_n} \leq 3$. If $f \cdot D_{Y_n} \leq 2$ then

$$\kappa(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}) = \kappa(Y_n \setminus D_{Y_n}) \leq 1 + \kappa(\mathbb{C}^*) = 1$$

by the 'easy addition theorem'.

(6) If $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ is not $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibered then either $K_{Y_n} + \frac{1}{2}D_{Y_n}$ is nef or the minimal model $(Y_n, \frac{1}{2}D_{Y_n})$ is a log del Pezzo surface, and then $-(K_{Y_n} + \frac{1}{2}D_{Y_n})$ is ample. In both cases $(K_{Y_n} + \frac{1}{2}D_{Y_n})^2 \geq 0$, which by 3.4(i) and 4.4 gives

$$\rho(X'_n) \leq 3p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 8 - n + b_0(\Delta'_n) + \#\Upsilon^0_n - \delta(\Delta^-_n),$$

and the inequality is strict in the del Pezzo case. Put $\upsilon_n = \#\Upsilon^0_n$. Note that $\psi_*(\Upsilon^0_0) \leq \Upsilon^0_n$, so $c'_0 = \upsilon_0 \leq \upsilon_n$. It is easy to see that if a component of $\Upsilon^0_{i+1} - \psi_*(\Upsilon^0_i)$ is created then the tip of Δ^-_i met by A_i is a tip of D_i.

$$b_0(\Delta'_n) + (\upsilon_n - \upsilon_0) \leq b_0(\Delta'_0). \tag{4.4}$$

Then $b_0(\Delta'_n) + \upsilon_n \leq b_0(\Delta'_0) + c'_0$, so

$$\rho(X'_n) \leq 3p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 8 - n + b_0(\Delta'_0) + c'_0 - t_\Delta(\psi').$$

(5) Assume $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \geq 0$. Then (X'_n, D'_n) is snc-minimal by 3.7. Suppose $n = 5$. Then $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = b_0(\Delta'_n) = c'_0 = 0$ by (4.1), so (6) gives $\rho(X_5) + \tau = \rho(X'_5) \leq 3$. But $\tau \geq 2c \geq 2$, so $X_5 \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. By 4.3(ii) $\#D_5 = 6$, so $\deg(2K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + D_5) \geq 0$, hence $2K'_5 + D'_5 \geq 0$; a contradiction. □

We now prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.4

Proof of Theorem 1.2(1). By 4.5(6)

$$\rho(X') \leq 3p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 8 - n + b_0(\Delta'_0) + c'_0$$

and the inequality is strict in the del Pezzo case. Now $b_0(\Delta'_0) + c'_0$ can be bounded from above by the numer of maximal twigs of D, which in not bigger than $17 - p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ by a result of Tono [Ton05]. But it is better, and easier, to reuse the BMY inequality as follows (we owe this observation to Mariusz Koras). First, observe that if we have a twig $R = [a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ with $a_i \geq 2$ and its subtwig $R' = [a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}]$ then $\operatorname{ind}(R) \geq \operatorname{ind}(R')$. Indeed, this follows by induction from the formula $\operatorname{ind}([a_1, \ldots, a_n]) = 1/(a_1 - \operatorname{ind}([a_2, \ldots, a_n]))$. We get

$$\frac{1}{2}b_0(\Delta'_0) + \frac{1}{3}c'_0 = b_0(\Delta'_0)\operatorname{ind}([2]) + c'_0\operatorname{ind}([3]) \leq \operatorname{ind}(D) \leq 5 - p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}),$$

where the last inequality is just the BMY inequality for (X, D) (cf. (4.1)). Thus

$$b_0(\Delta'_0) \leq 10 - 2p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) - \frac{2}{3}c'_0. \tag{4.5}$$

We obtain:

$$\rho(X'_n) \leq p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 18 - n + \frac{1}{3}c'_0. \tag{4.6}$$

Then 4.5(2) gives

$$\#D'_n \leq p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 18 + \frac{1}{3}c'_0, \tag{4.7}$$

$$K'_n \cdot D'_n \leq 2p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 8 - 2n + \frac{1}{3}c'_0, \tag{4.8}$$

and the inequalities are strict in the del Pezzo case. It remains to prove that

$$p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + \frac{1}{3}c'_0 \leq 4, \tag{4.9}$$

because then $\rho(X'_n) \leq 22$, $\#D'_n \leq 22$ and $K'_n \cdot D'_n \leq 16$.

Suppose $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) > 4 - \frac{1}{3}c_0'$. By (4.2) $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \leq \frac{9}{2} - \frac{1}{2}c < 5$, so $c_0' > 0$ and $(c - c_0') + \frac{1}{3}c_0' \leq 1$. Then $c = c_0' \leq 3$ and $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = 4$. We get $\frac{5}{6}c_0' \leq \mathrm{ind}(D) \leq 5 - p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = 1$, so $c_0' = 1$. The genus formula gives $\binom{\deg \bar{E}-1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\mu(\mu-1)$, where $\mu = 2$, so $\deg \bar{E} = 3$. However, $2K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + \bar{E} = \pi_*(2K_X + D) \geq 0$; a contradiction. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (part 2). By (4.2) we only need to show that $c \leq 6$. The case when $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ has a structural $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibration will be treated in 5.1(iv), so we assume the opposite. Over each cusp ψ_0 contracts at least two curves and makes one component tangent to E_0, hence this component is not contracted by $\psi\colon X_0 \to X_n$. It follows that $D_n' - E_n'$ contains at least $3c$ components. Therefore, by (4.7) $1 + 3c \leq \#D_n' \leq p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 18 + \frac{c_0'}{3}$, so

$$3c \leq p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 17 + \frac{c_0'}{3}. \tag{4.10}$$

Suppose $c \geq 7$. By (4.9) the above inequality gives $c = 7$ and $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = 4 - \frac{1}{3}c_0'$. The BMY inequality for (X, D) gives $\frac{5}{6}c_0' \leq \mathrm{ind}(D) \leq 5 - p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = 1 + \frac{1}{3}c_0'$, so $c_0' \leq 2$. Then in fact $c_0' = 0$, $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = 4$ and $\mathrm{ind}(D) \leq 1$. We arrive at a contradiction, because the contribution to $\mathrm{ind}(D)$ of each cusp is strictly bigger than $\frac{1}{2}$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.4. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2(2). Assume $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = -\infty$. By part (1) of the Theorem $\rho(X_n') \leq 21$, $\#D_n' \leq 21$ and $K_n' \cdot D_n' \leq 15$. Let V' be a component of D_n' and V its image in D_n. We show that $(V')^2 \geq -3$. If $V = 0$ or if $V \leq \Delta_n$ then $(V')^2 = -1$ or -2. If $V \leq \Upsilon_n$ and $\varphi_n\colon X_n' \to X_n$ touches V' then V' is a component of a resolution of a semi-ordinary cusp, so $(V')^2 \geq -3$. We may therefore assume $V \leq R := D_n - \Upsilon_n - \Delta_n$. Since $2K_n + D_n^\flat$ is anti-ample off $\Upsilon_n + \Delta_n$, we get $0 > -V^2 + V \cdot (D_n^\flat - V) + 2V \cdot (K_n + V)$, so since $V \cdot (D_n^\flat - V) \geq \beta_R(V)$,

$$V^2 - \beta_R(V) \geq -3.$$

We may therefore assume that φ_n touches V', otherwise $(V')^2 = V^2 \geq -2$ and we are done. Then V is tangent to E_n and all (three) components of D_n passing through the point of tangency belong to R. Thus each blowup constituting φ_n whose center is on the proper transform of V decreases the self-intersection and the branching number (computed with respect to the reduced total transform of R) by 1, hence $(V')^2 \geq -2$.

Assume $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \geq 0$. Let V' be a component of D_n'. Put $\alpha = (V')^2$. If $\alpha \geq 0$ then V' intersects every effective divisor non-negatively, so $0 \leq V' \cdot (2K_n' + D_n') = -\alpha - 4 + \beta_{D_n'}(V')$. This gives

$$\alpha \leq \max(0, \beta_{D_n'}(V') - 4).$$

If $V' = E_n'$ then write $D_n' - V' = \sum V_j$, otherwise write $D_n - V' - E_n' = \sum_j V_j'$, where V_j' are irreducible. Let V and V_j be respectively the proper transforms of V' and V_j' on X. It follows from the definition of ψ_i that the branching number of the image of V' increases at most by one under ψ_i and that it increases exactly by one if and only if A_{i-1} meets this image. Therefore, $\beta_{D'}(V') \leq \beta_D(V) + n$ and $\sum(\beta_{D_n'}(V_j') - \beta_D(V_j)) \leq 2n$, where the summation can be taken over any subset of irreducible components of $\sum_j V_j'$. If $V' = E_n'$ then by (4.2) we get

$$(E_n')^2 \leq \max(0, c + n - 4) \leq \max(0, 6 - 2p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})).$$

If $V' \neq E_n'$ then, as a consequence of the fact that every component of $D - E$ contracts to a smooth point, $\beta_D(V) \leq 3$, so $\alpha \leq \max(0, n - 1) \leq 4$.

Put $\alpha_j = (V'_j)^2$. Let I and J be the sets of these V'_j for which $\alpha_j = -1$ and $\alpha_j \geq 0$ respectively. Then

$$-\sum K'_n \cdot V'_j = \sum_j (\alpha_j + 2) \leq \#I + \sum_J (\beta_{D'_n}(V') - 2) \leq \#I + \sum_J (\beta_D(V_j) - 2) + 2n.$$

Since $\beta_D(V_j) \leq 3$ for every V_j, the right hand side of the inequality is bounded by $\#I + \#J + 2n$.

Assume $V \neq E'_n$. By the genus formula $-\alpha - 2 - (E'_n)^2 - 2 = K'_n \cdot (V' + E'_n) = K'_n \cdot D'_n - \sum_j K'_n \cdot V'_j$, so the above inequality gives $-\alpha \leq (E'_n)^2 + 4 + K'_n \cdot D'_n + (\#D'_n - 2) + 2n$. By (4.6) and (4.7) this results with the inequality

$$-\alpha \leq (E'_n)^2 + 3p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 28 + \frac{2}{3}c'_0.$$

Now for $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \neq 4$ we obtain $-\alpha \leq 6 + p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 28 + \frac{2}{3}c'_0 \leq 38 + \frac{1}{3}c'_0 \leq 40$, because $c'_0 \leq c \leq 6$. If $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = 4$ then $c'_0 = 0$ by (4.9), so $-\alpha \leq 3p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 28 = 40$.

Assume $V = E'_n$. As above we obtain $-\alpha \leq 2 + K'_n \cdot D'_n + (\#D'_n - 1) + 2n$, hence $-\alpha \leq 3p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 27 \leq 39$.

□

Remark 4.6. Note that if V, W are distinct components of D'_n then, because D'_n is an snc-divisor of arithmetic genus n, we have $0 \leq V \cdot W \leq n + 1 \leq 6$. In particular, 1.2(2) shows that if $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) \geq 0$ then the size and all entries of the intersection matrix of D'_n are bounded. In case $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = -\infty$ we have no upper bound for diagonal entries.

We make the following negativity conjecture for cuspidal curves.

Conjecture 4.7. *If $\bar{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ is a rational cuspidal curve then $\kappa_{1/2}(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) = -\infty$.*

Remark 4.8. By the definition of $\kappa_{1/2}$ the conjecture simply says that for the minimal log resolution $(X, D) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ one has $h^0(m(2K_X + D)) = 0$ for every $m > 0$. It implies both the weak rigidity conjecture and the Coolidge-Nagata conjecture (see 2.5). Theorem 1.2 shows that almost minimal models of potential counterexamples to 4.7 satisfy strong combinatorial restrictions. Also, the minimalization process is well described and has at most four steps, which gives a reasonable control over D.

We finish this section with the proof of Corollary 1.3 in case $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ does not admit a structural $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibration.

Proof of Corollary 1.3 (part 1). The divisor D is a rational tree, so the core graph and the Eisenbud-Neumann diagram are trees, which implies that a universal bound on the number of vertices gives a bound on the number of possible graphs. For a reduced divisor B let $\mathrm{core}(B)$ and $\mathrm{vc}(B)$ denote the core and respectively the number of vertices in the core graph of B. Write $t(B)$ for the number of maximal twigs of B. Let $t_\Delta(\psi')$ and $t(\psi')$ be respectively the number of maximal (-2)-twigs of D contracted completely by ψ' and the number of all maximal twigs of D contracted completely by ψ'. Recall that $\upsilon_i = \#\Upsilon^0_i$. By the proof of 4.5(6) $\mathrm{vc}(D'_n) \leq \#D'_n = \rho(X'_n) + n \leq 3p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 8 + b_0(\Delta'_n) + \upsilon_n$. We claim that

$$(\mathrm{vc}(D'_i) - \mathrm{vc}(D'_{i+1})) + (\upsilon_{i+1} - \upsilon_i) \leq (b_0(\Delta'_i) - b_0(\Delta'_{i+1})) + 1.$$

By 4.1(iv)-(v) $\upsilon_{i+1} - \upsilon_i \leq 1$. We may assume that A_i meets Δ'_i in a tip of D'_i, otherwise ψ'_{i+1} does not contract completely any maximal twig of D'_i, so $\mathrm{vc}(D'_i) - \mathrm{vc}(D'_{i+1}) \leq 0$ and the claim holds. Then the above inequality is equivalent to $(\mathrm{vc}(D'_i) - \mathrm{vc}(D'_{i+1})) + (\upsilon_{i+1} - \upsilon_i) \leq 2$. Since ψ'_{i+1} contracts completely at most two maximal twigs of D_i, we have

$\mathrm{vc}(D'_i) - \mathrm{vc}(D'_{i+1}) \leq 2$. But if equality holds then the maximal twigs of D'_i contracted by ψ'_{i+1} meet different components of the remaining part of D'_i, so $(\psi_{i+1})_*\Upsilon^0_i = \Upsilon^0_{i+1}$ and hence $\upsilon_{i+1} - \upsilon_i = 0$. Thus the claim holds. It follows that

$$\mathrm{vc}(D) - \mathrm{vc}(D'_n) + \upsilon_n - c'_0 \leq b_0(\Delta'_0) - b_0(\Delta'_n) + n,$$

and hence that

$$\mathrm{vc}(D) \leq 3p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 8 + b_0(\Delta'_0) + n + c'_0.$$

By (4.5)

$$\mathrm{vc}(D) \leq p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + 18 + n + \frac{1}{3}c'_0$$

and by (4.1) $p_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E}) + n \leq 5 - \mathrm{ind}(\tilde{D}) \leq 5 - \frac{5}{6}c'_0$. Then $\mathrm{vc}(D) \leq 23 - \frac{1}{2}c'_0 \leq 23$. Since D has at least three maximal twigs, $\#\,\mathrm{core}(D) \leq 20$.

□

5. The structural $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibration

In this section we prove Corollary 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 in case $\mathbb{P}^2\setminus\bar{E}$ admits a structural $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibration. By definition, there is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration $\xi_Y\colon Y_n \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with irreducible fibers, such that D_{Y_n} meets a general fiber exactly three times. The morphism $\alpha_n\colon (X_n, D_n) \to (Y_n, D_{Y_n})$ decomposes as

$$(X_n, D_n) \xrightarrow{\alpha_n^+} (Z, D_Z) \xrightarrow{\alpha_n^-} (Y_n, D_{Y_n}),$$

where α_n^+ contracts $\Upsilon_n + \Delta_n^+$, α_n^- contracts Δ_n^- and $D_Z = (\alpha_n^+)_* D_n$. It follows that Z is smooth. We denote the $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration $\xi_Y \circ \alpha_n^-$ induced on Z by ξ. Note that even if F is a smooth fiber of ξ, its affine part $F \cap (Z \setminus D_Z)$ might be a singular fiber of $\xi_{|Z\setminus D_Z}$, i.e. might be non-isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{**}$ as a scheme.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume $\mathbb{P}^2\setminus\bar{E}$ admits a structural $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibration. Let (Z, D_Z) and $\xi\colon Z \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be as above. Let h be the number of ξ-horizontal components of D_Z, ν - the number of fibers of ξ contained in D_Z and σ - the number of singular fibers of $\xi_{Z\setminus D_Z}$. Then:*

(i) $\nu = n + 2 - h$,

(ii) $\sigma = h + 1 - n$,

(iii) every singular fiber of ξ has a dual graph as below, where black dots stand for horizontal components of D_Z and are joined by dotted lines with components meeting them:



(iv) $\bar{E}$ has at most three cusps.

Proof. Let H be the divisor consisting of components of D_Z which are horizontal for ξ.

(iii) Let f be a singular fiber of ξ. Put $F = f_{red}$. Denote by $L_f \leq F$ the proper transform of $\alpha_{n*}F$. Since the support of $F - L_f$ is contained in the support of $\mathrm{Exc}\,\alpha_n^- = \Delta_n^-$, we see that $F - L_f$ consists of (-2)-curves and is contained in the sum of maximal twigs of D_Z. Now F, as a fiber of a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration of a smooth surface, is an (snc-) tree containing a (-1)-curve, so L_f is the (-1)-curve. It follows that either F is a chain of type $[2,1,2]$ or $F - L_f$ is a chain of type $[2,2,2]$ and L_f meets the middle (-2)-curve. Suppose that $F = [2,1,2]$. Denote the (-2)-tips by T_1, T_2. The multiplicity $\mu(L_f)$ of L_f in f, equals 2. Consider the case when L_f is a component of D_Z. If $H \cdot L_f = 0$ then, say, $H \cdot B_1 \geq 2$,

so B_1 is not contained in a twig of D_Z; a contradiction. Thus H meets L_f and hence $L_f \cdot H = 1$. Then H meets exactly one (-2)-tip of F, say B_1, so the proper transform of B_2 on X_n is contained in Δ_n^+. This is impossible in view of the definition of α_n^+; a contradiction. Consider the case when L_f is not a component of D_Z. Because of the connectedness of D_Z, H meets both (-2)-tips of F. The multiplicity of both tips in f is one, so since they are part of Δ_n^-, H meets each of them once and transversally. Thus H meets L_f, so $H \cdot f \geq 2 + \mu(L_f) = 4$; a contradiction.

Therefore $F - L_f$ is of type $[2,2,2]$ and L_f meets the middle (-2)-curve. The surface $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ is assumed to be of log general type, so it contains no $\mathbb{C}^1$ by 2.4(iv). This means that H meets L_f. Since L_f and the middles (-2)-curve in $F - L_f$ have multiplicity 2 in f, the latter does not meet H.

(i) Each fiber of ξ contains at most one component not contained in D_Z, so $\rho(Z) = 2 + (\#(D_Z - H) - \nu)$. We have $\rho(Z) = \#D_Z + n$, so we obtain $h + \nu = n + 2$.

(ii) Put $\pi = \xi_{|Z \setminus D_Z}$. The Euler characteristic of $Z \setminus D_Z$ is 1, the Euler characteristic of a base of π is $\chi(\mathbb{P}^1) - \nu = 2 - \nu$ and the Euler characteristic of a general fiber is $\chi(\mathbb{C}^{**}) = -1$. The Suzuki formula ([Miy01, 3.1.8]) reads as

$$1 = (2 - \nu)(-1) + \sum(\chi(F_s) + 1),$$

where the sum is taken over singular fibers of π. Now by (iv) we see that if $\bar{F}_s$, the fiber of ξ containing F_s, is singular then $F_s \cong \mathbb{C}^*$. But the latter holds also when $\bar{F}_s$ is smooth, because the only other option is $F_s \cong \mathbb{C}^1$, which is impossible by 2.4(iii). Thus $\chi(F_s) = \chi(\mathbb{C}^*) = 0$, which gives $3 - \nu = \sigma$.

(iv) Suppose $\bar{E}$ has at least four cusps. Let $p\colon X_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration induced by ξ. Note that every C_i, $i = 1, \ldots, c$ is tangent to E_0. If E_0 is vertical for p then, since all fibers of $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibrations are snc-divisors, all C_i are horizontal, so $h \geq c \geq 4$, which is false. Similarly, if E_0 is a section of p then, all C_i, being tangent to E_0, are horizontal for p, and we get a contradiction the same way. Thus E_0 is either a 2- or a 3-section of p. No fiber of p can be contained in D_0, because such a fiber would be contained in $D_0 - E_0$, which is negative definite. It follows that if E_0 is a 3-section then we get $\#D_0 = \rho(X_0) = 2 + \sum(\#F - 1) \geq 2$, where the sum is taken over all singular fibers of p, which gives $\#D_0 \geq 2 + \#(D_0 - E_0) = \#D_0 + 1$; a contradiction. Therefore, E_0 is a 2-section of p, so $p_{|E_0}$ is a $2:1$ covering of $\mathbb{P}^1$ by $\mathbb{P}^1$. Such a cover has exactly two ramification points. But now at least $c - 1 \geq 3$ of the C_i's are horizontal and since they are tangent to E_0, the corresponding intersection points with E_0 are ramification points for $p_{|E_0}$; a contradiction. □

Proof of Corollary 1.3 (part 2). We may assume that $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{E}$ has a structural $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibration as above. Let $(X, D) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \bar{E})$ be the minimal log resolution. Recall that c_0 and c_1 are the numbers of semi-ordinary and non-semi-ordinary cusps and that c_0' denotes the number of ordinary cusps. Put $c_0'' = c_0 - c_0'$. Clearly, $c = c_0' + c_0'' + c_1$. We have $\mathrm{vc}(D_{Y_n}) \leq \#D_{Y_n} = \rho(Y_n) + n$ and, by the definition of Δ_n and Y_n,

$$\mathrm{vc}(D_n) - \mathrm{vc}(D_{Y_n}) \leq b_0(\Delta_n^-) + b_0(\Delta_n^+) + \#\Upsilon_n = b_0(\Delta_n^-) + 2(c_0 + \eta) - c_0'.$$

We have $\mathrm{vc}(D_0) - \mathrm{vc}(D_n) \leq 2n$, so we get

$$\mathrm{vc}(D_0) \leq 2c_0 - c_0' + 2\eta + b_0(\Delta_n^-) + \rho(Y_n) + 3n.$$

For cores we have $\#\operatorname{core}(D_{Y_n}) \leq \#D_{Y_n} = \rho(Y_n) + n$, $\#\operatorname{core}(D_n) - \#\operatorname{core}(D_{Y_n}) \leq c_0 + \eta$ and $\#\operatorname{core}(D_0) \leq \#\operatorname{core}(D_n)$, hence

$$\#\operatorname{core}(D_0) \leq n + \eta + c_0 + \rho(Y_n).$$

Claim. $\mathrm{vc}(D) - \mathrm{vc}(D_0) \leq 2(c_1 + c_0') + c_0''$ and $\#\operatorname{core}(D) - \#\operatorname{core}(D_0) \leq c_1$.

This is the part where we use the $\mathbb{C}^{**}$-fibration. It is clear that the contribution from a semi-ordinary cusp to the left hand side of the second inequality is zero and to the first inequality is 2 or 1 depending whether the cusp is ordinary or not. It is therefore enough to show that resolving the unique non-snc point in D_0 over each non-ordinary cusp adds at most two vertices to the core graph and at most one component to the core.

Let $p \in (D_0 - E_0) \cap E_0$ be the non-snc point over some cusp q_j and let A be the component of $D_0 - E_0$ tangent to E_0. We have $A \cdot E_0 = \tau_j$. Let V be the part of $\operatorname{Exc}\psi_0$ over q_j. Then $V = [1, (2)_{\tau_j - 1}]$. If A is a unique component of $D_0 - E_0$ meeting E_0 at p or if $\tau_j = 2$ then we are done. We may therefore assume that $\tau_j \geq 3$ and that there is a component B of $D_0 - E_0 - A$ meeting E_0 at p. By the definition of ψ_0 no other component of D_0 goes through p and $B \cdot E_0 = 1$. Since A, B, E_0 go through a non-snc point of D_0, they are not contracted by $\alpha_n \circ \psi$ and their intersections at p are not affected by this morphism. Let A', B' and E' be their images in D_{Y_n} and let f be the fiber containing the image of p. Denote the divisor of horizontal components of D_{Y_n} by H. We have $H \cdot f = 3$, so if none of A', B', E' is vertical then $f \cap (Y \setminus D_Y) \cong \mathbb{C}^1$, which contradicts 2.4(iii). If $A' = f$ or $E' = f$ then $H \cdot f \geq \tau_j + 1 \geq 4$; a contradiction. Thus $B' = f$. Let p' be the point of intersection of H and B' different than p. We have $(H - E' - A') \cdot B' = 1$, so the intersection at p' is transversal. Because $B^2 < 0 = (B')^2$, we see that ψ touches B at p'. It follows that there is a twig T of D_0 (possibly empty) which meets B in its tip, is contracted by ψ and such that $B \cdot (D_0 - E_0 - A - T) = 0$. Thus the (-2)-curves of V are contained in a twig of D. The claim follows.

By 4.1 $\eta \leq n$ and by 5.1 $b_0(\Delta_n^-) \leq \sigma$ and $\sigma + n = h + 1 \leq 4$. Because $c \leq 3$ by 5.1(iv), we obtain $\mathrm{vc}(D) \leq 2c + c_0 + 5n + 2 + b_0(\Delta_n^-) \leq 3c + 4n + 6 \leq 9 + 16 + 6 = 31$ and, since $\rho(Y_n) = 2$, $\#\operatorname{core}(D) \leq 2n + c + 2 \leq 13$. This finishes the proof of Corollary 1.3.

□

References

[BL14] Maciej Borodzik and Charles Livingstone, *Heegaard Floer homology and rational cuspidal curves*, Forum Math. Sigma **2** (2014), e1, 28, arXiv:1304.1062.

[Coo59] Julian Lowell Coolidge, *A treatise on algebraic plane curves*, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1959.

[FdBLMHN07] J. Fernández de Bobadilla, I. Luengo, A. Melle-Hernández, and A. Némethi, *On rational cuspidal plane curves, open surfaces and local singularities*, Singularity theory, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2007, pp. 411–442.

[Fen99] Torsten Fenske, *Rational cuspidal plane curves of type* $(d, d-4)$ *with* $\chi(\Theta_V\langle D\rangle) \leq 0$, Manuscripta Math. **98** (1999), no. 4, 511–527.

[FR05] G. Freudenburg and P. Russell, *Open problems in affine algebraic geometry*, Affine algebraic geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 369, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 1–30.

[Fuj82] Takao Fujita, *On the topology of noncomplete algebraic surfaces*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **29** (1982), no. 3, 503–566.

[FZ94] Hubert Flenner and Mikhail Zaidenberg, *Q-acyclic surfaces and their deformations*, Classification of algebraic varieties (LAquila, 1992), Contemp. Math., vol. 162, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 143–208.

[FZ96] ———, *On a class of rational cuspidal plane curves*, Manuscripta Math. **89** (1996), no. 4, 439–459.

[KK94] J. Kollár and Sándor Kovács, *Birational geometry of log surfaces*, `https://web.math.princeton.edu/~kollar/FromMyHomePage/BiratLogSurf.ps`, 1994.

[KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, With

the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.

[KP15] Mariusz Koras and Karol Palka, *The Coolidge–Nagata conjecture*, arXiv:1502.07149, 2015.

[Lan03] Adrian Langer, *Logarithmic orbifold Euler numbers of surfaces with applications*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **86** (2003), no. 2, 358–396.

[Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals.

[Mat02] Kenji Matsuki, *Introduction to the Mori program*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

[Miy01] Masayoshi Miyanishi, *Open algebraic surfaces*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 12, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[MKM83] N. Mohan Kumar and M. Pavaman Murthy, *Curves with negative self-intersection on rational surfaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **22** (1982/83), no. 4, 767–777.

[MS89] Takashi Matsuoka and Fumio Sakai, *The degree of rational cuspidal curves*, Math. Ann. **285** (1989), no. 2, 233–247.

[MS91] M. Miyanishi and T. Sugie, *Homology planes with quotient singularities*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **31** (1991), no. 3, 755–788.

[MT92] M. Miyanishi and S. Tsunoda, *Absence of the affine lines on the homology planes of general type*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **32** (1992), no. 3, 443–450.

[Nag60] Masayoshi Nagata, *On rational surfaces. I. Irreducible curves of arithmetic genus* 0 *or* 1, Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A Math. **32** (1960), 351–370.

[Ore95] S. Yu. Orevkov, *On singularities that are quasirational in the sense of Abhyankar*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **50** (1995), no. 6(306), 201–202.

[Pal11] Karol Palka, *Exceptional singular $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **61** (2011), no. 2, 745–774, arXiv:0909.0772.

[Pal14] ———, *The Coolidge–Nagata conjecture, Part I*, Adv. Math. **267** (2014), 1–43, arXiv:1405.5917.

[Ton05] Keita Tono, *On the number of the cusps of cuspidal plane curves*, Math. Nachr. **278** (2005), no. 1-2, 216–221.

[Zai95] Mikhail Zaidenberg, *Open problems on open algebraic varieties*, arXiv:alg-geom/9506006, 1995.

[ZO96] M. G. Zaĭdenberg and S. Yu. Orevkov, *On rigid rational cuspidal plane curves*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **51** (1996), no. 1(307), 149–150.

Karol Palka: Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warsaw, Poland

E-mail address: palka@impan.pl